UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

TRIDENT ENTERPRISES, LTD., et al,)
Plaintiff, Counter-Defendant;)))
v.) Civil Action No. 1:09-cv-1355 CMH/TCB
AIRTRONIC USA, INC.))
Defendant, Counter-Plaintiff.))

PLAINTIFF AND COUNTER-DEFENDANTS' REQUESTED VERDICT FORM

Plaintiff Trident and the Counter-Defendants' Requested Verdict Form is attached.

Date submitted: Sept. 3, 2010 Respectfully,

/s/ Rebecca A Worthington

Alan L. Briggs, (VSB No. 38667) Rebecca A. Worthington (VSB No. 79232) SQUIRE, SANDERS & DEMPSEY L.L.P. 1201 Pennsylvania Avenue, NW Suite 500

Washington, DC 20004 Phone: (202) 626-6600 Facsimile: (202) 626-6780

Counsel for Trident Enterprises, Ltd.

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing Plaintiff and Counter-Defendants' Requested Verdict Form was filed electronically on this 3rd day of Sept. 2010 with the Court using the CM/ECF system, which notifies those noted below of its service.

Gabriel D. Soll
Jeffrey S. Jacobovitz
Attorneys for Defendant
McCarthy, Sweeney & Harkaway, PC
1825 K Street, N.W.
Suite 700
Washington, D.C. 20006

/s/ Rebecca A . Worthington
Rebecca A. Worthington

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF VIRGINIA Alexandria Division

TRIDENT ENTERPRISES, LTD., et al, Plaintiff, Counter-Defendant; v. AIRTRONIC USA, INC. Defendant,)))) Civil Action No. 1:09-cv-1355) CMH/TCB))		
Counter-Plaintiff.) VERDICT FORM			
We the jury, being first duly sworn, do	o render this as our true verdict: (FF'S CLAIMS)		
1. On Plaintiff's claim against De	efendant for breach of contract we find as follows: For Plaintiff Trident and find compensatory amages of \$		
-(OR-		
Fe	or Defendant Airtronic.		
Signatures of Foreperson:			

2. On Plaintiff's cl	aim against Defendant for conversion we find as follows:
	We find for Plaintiff Trident and find compensatory
	damages of \$
	and punitive damages of
	\$
	- OR -
-	We find for Defendant Airtronic.
Signatures of Foreperson:	
	<u> </u>
3. On Plaintiff's cla	im against Defendant for interfer
we find as follows:	im against Defendant for interference with Business Relations
we find as follows.	
-	We find for Plaintiff Trident and find compensatory
	damages of \$
	and punitive damages of
	\$
	- OR -
	We find for Defendant Airtronic.
Signatures of Foreperson:	

4.	On Defendant's countercla	im against Trident for breach of contract we find as
follows:		
		For the Defendant Airtronic and find compensatory
		damages of \$
		- OR -
		For Plaintiff Trident.
Signatures	of Jurors joining in this verd	lict:
5.	On Defendant Airtronic's co	ounterclaim against Trident for actual fraud we find:
		For Defendant Airtronic and find compensatory
		damages of \$
		and punitive damages of
		\$
		- OR -
		For Plaintiff Trident.
Signatures o	of Jurors joining in this verdi	ct:
		_

IF YOU FOUND IN FAVOR OF AIRTRONIC ON ITS CLAIM FOR ACTUAL FRAUD YOU NEED NOT ANSWER NO. 6. IF HOWEVER, YOU FOUND IN FAVOR OF TRIDENT ON AIRTRONICS CLAIM OF ACTUAL FRAUD ANSWER NO. 6.

6.	On Defendant Airtronic's	counterclaim against Plaintiff Trident for Constructive
Fraud we fine	d:	
	***	For Defendant Airtronic and find compensatory
		damages of \$
		- OR -
		For Plaintiff Trident.
Signatures of Jurors joining in this verdict:		

IF YOU FOUND IN FAVOR OF TRIDENT IN YOUR VERDICTS 4, 5 AND 6 THEN YOU WORK IS DONE AND YOU NEED NOT ANSWER 7, 8 AND 9 BELOW. YOUR WORK IS DONE AND YOU SHOULD ADVISE THE MARSHAL. HOWEVER, IF YOUR VERDICT FOR EITHER 4, 5 OR 6 WAS IN FAVOR OF AIRTRONIC THEN YOU SHOULD PROCEED FORWARD AND ANSWER 7, 8 AND 9.

7. For Airtronic's claim again	ast Samuel Hillenburg to pierce the corporate veil of
Trident and hold Mr. Hillenburg personall	y responsible for the counterclaim verdict(s) against
Trident we find:	
	For Airtronic.
	- OR -
	For Samuel Hillenburg.
Signatures of Foreperson:	

8. For Airtronic's claim again	st Brian Fauci to pierce the corporate veil of Trident
and hold Mr. Fauci personally responsible	for the counterclaim verdict(s) against Trident we find:
	For Airtronic.
	- OR -
	For Brian Fauci.
Signatures of Foreperson:	
9. For Airtronic's claim agains	t Monty Mendenhall to pierce the corporate veil of
	y responsible for the counterclaim verdict(s) against
Trident we find:	verdict(s) against
	For Airtronic.
	- OR -
Signatures of Foreperson:	For Monty Mendenhall.
g	